

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,496	10/29/2001	Carey Nachenberg	20423-05957	3384
34415 SYMANTEC/	7590 10/19/2007 FENWICK	1	EXAMINER	
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
	MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoc@fenwick.com bhoffman@fenwick.com aprice@fenwick.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/046,496 NACHENBERG ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2137 Jeffery Williams All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jeffery Williams. (3)_____ (4) (2) *B. Hoffman*. Date of Interview: 15 January 2007. Type: a) \boxtimes Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Bates. Agreement with respect to the claims $f \subseteq W$ was reached. $g \cong W$ was not reached. $g \cong W$

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative asserted that the claim recitation of "an earliest moment the computer code was allowed to execute" distinguished the claimed invention from the prior art. The examiner noted that such a recitation of "an earliest moment..." is subjective and the prior art anticipates such a recitation for the reasons of record. The applicant's representative disagreed that this recitation was subjective and asserted that the recitation of "an earliest moment..." may only be interpreted as the earliest time that the computer code was allowed to execute on the system of which the computer code resides.